For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HAGSTROM PROPERTIES, L.L.C.,

Plaintiff,

No. C-06-4338 MMC

Ι,

DOW CLEANERS, et al.,

Defendants

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO DISMISS TWO REMAINING UNSERVED DEFENDANTS OR TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SAID DEFENDANTS SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

At the case management conference conducted March 9, 2007, the Court set a deadline of May 4, 2007 for plaintiff to serve defendants. At the next case management conference, conducted June 1, 2007, plaintiff advised the Court that it would file a motion, by June 11, 2007, to dismiss all unserved defendants. On June 11, 2007, plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss eight unserved defendants, which motion the Court, by separate order filed concurrently herewith, has granted. A review of the operative pleading and the docket indicate, however, that two additional defendants remain unserved, specifically, (1) "Dow Cleaners, a California corporation aka Dow Cleaners A Jason Co. and aka Dow Cleaners Inc.," and (2) "Greig Sweeney, an individual."

¹Two individual defendants have been sued and have appeared using a dba of "Dow Cleaners." (See Answer of Aslam Lodhi and Raqia Lodhi, filed November 21, 2006, at 1:19-20.) A third individual defendant has been sued and has appeared using a dba of "Dow Cleaners Inc." (See Answer of Dale Briggs, filed November 16, 2006, at 2:1:2.) Plaintiff has sued as a separate defendant, however, a "corporation" named "Dow Cleaners."

Accordingly, plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to file, no later than June 22, 2007, a
motion to dismiss the two unserved defendants identified above or to show cause why said
two defendants, or either of them, should not be dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 13, 2007

MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge